

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6                   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7                   **WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**  
8                   **AT SEATTLE**

9  
10                   NADENE SAMMANN, *et al.*,

11                   Plaintiffs,

12                   v.

13                   ESTATE OF ELIZABETH SAMMANN,  
14                   *et al.*,

15                   Defendants.

16                   Case No. C05-0929L

17                   **ORDER DENYING MOTION**  
18                   **FOR RECONSIDERATION**

19  
20         This matter comes before the Court on plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of  
21         the Court's order denying their motion for an extension of time, ordering them to serve  
22         defendants with a copy of their second proposed amended complaint, and ordering the  
23         Clerk of the Court to unseal certain documents that plaintiffs improperly and unilaterally  
24         filed under seal (the "Order").<sup>1</sup> (Dkt. #76).

25  
26         Plaintiffs have not demonstrated that the Order contained manifest error, nor have  
they shown new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to the

---

27  
28         <sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs also moved to file an overlength memorandum in support of their  
29         motion for reconsideration. That request is granted, and the Court has considered their  
30         overlength memorandum. (Dkt. #75).

1 Court's attention earlier with reasonable diligence. Accordingly, plaintiffs' motion for  
2 reconsideration (Dkt. #76) is DENIED.

3

4 DATED this 7th day of February, 2006.

5

6



7 Robert S. Lasnik  
8 United States District Judge

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 ORDER DENYING MOTION  
FOR RECONSIDERATION - 2